UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,374	09/16/2003	Se-Jin Lee	JHU1800-3	5508
28213 DLA PIPER US	7590 03/24/200 S LLP	EXAMINER		
4365 EXECUT		CHOWDHURY, IQBAL HOSSAIN		
SUITE 1100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-2133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/665,374	LEE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	IQBAL H. CHOWDHURY	1652
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (e), cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 D</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under <u>B</u>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 5-15 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 5-15 are subject to restriction and/	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/665,374

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 and 5-15 are currently pending in the instant application.

In response to a previous Office action, a final action (mailed on May 1, 2007), applicants

Page 2

filed an amendment on October 29, 2007, amending claim 1 and cancelling claims 2-3

acknowledged. Claims 4 and 16-66 remain cancelled.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in

37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is

eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e)

has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to

37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 28, 2007has been entered.

Claims 1 and 5-15 are under consideration.

Election/Restrictions (species)

The newly amended claim 1 with new limitation raises new issues i.e. claim 1 comprises

patentably distinct 15 polypeptide/peptide molecules (SEQ ID NO: 9-23), i.e. this application

contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. A

new species Election/Restriction requirement is deemed necessary for expedite the prosecution.

The species are as follows:

(A). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 9.

(B). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 10.

(C). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 11.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,374 Page 3

Art Unit: 1652

(D). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 12.

(E). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 13.

(F). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 14.

(G). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 15.

(H). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 16.

(I). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 17.

(J). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 18.

(K). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 19.

(L). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 20.

(M). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 21.

(O). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 22.

(P). protein/peptide of SEQ ID NO: 23.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

1. The peptides of Group (A)-(P) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions represent structurally different peptides or polypeptides. Therefore, where structural identity is required, such as for antibody binding, the different sequences have different effects. In addition, the species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Iqbal Chowdhury whose telephone number is 571-272-8137. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nashaat N. Nashed can be reached on 703-272-0934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully,

Iqbal H. Chowdhury, Ph.D., Patent Examiner Art Unit 1652 (Recombinant enzymes) Rm. REM 2B69, Mail Box- 2C70 US Patent and Trademark Office Ph. 571-272-8137, Fax. 571-273-8137

/Iqbal H. Chowdhury, Ph.D./

Patent Examiner (PSA), Art Unit 1652